

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8450		
10/038,170	10/22/2001	Kevin Lee Kott	6768CD			
27752	7590 08/13/2002					
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
			OGDEN JR, N	OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	, -		1751	\circ		
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A

		•	_		1.0				
		Application No).	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/038,170		KOTT ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Necholus Ogde	en	1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period fo	• •			0) 50014					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPAMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statually received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how ply within the statutory m d will apply and will expire tte, cause the application	vever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days a SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	October 2001 .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-	final.	•					
3) 🗆	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
•	on of Claims								
	Claim(s) 10-42 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from conside	ration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) 10-42 is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ on Papers	or election require	ement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
, 		xanıner.							
-	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		ELLO O S 440/a) (d) as (6)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)[All b) Some * c) None of: A □ Continued continue of the priority decument	ata baya basa sas	airad						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer			an Na					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer				Ctono				
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage				
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
	☐ The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for domes	* *							
Attachment	(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 10/038,170

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 10-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pelrine (4,990,718) or Gudelis (3,351,654) or Page et al (4,870,038) or Blain et al (5,026,933).

Pelrine discloses a process for the production of monoalkylbenzenes, useful as lubricant additives, by aromatic alkylation wherein the alkylation catalyst is an acidic metallosilicate and the alkylation agent is the olefins dimer by product (abstract). Pelrine further teaches that said olefins are C6-C14 and said catalyst is zeolites such as Offretite (col. 6, lines 14-23).

Gudelis discloses a process of preparing biodegradable detergents such as alkylbenzene sulfonate by dimerizing an olefin having C5-C16 with a silica-alumnae catalyst (col. 1, lines 10-30).

Page et al disclose an olefin oligomerization with zeolite catalyst wherein the olefin oligomers may be used as an alkylating agents prepare biodegradable alkylbenzene sulfonates. Moreover, the preferred catalyst is dealuminized mordenite.

Blain et la disclose a process for producing a linear hydrocarbon by oligomerization with zeolite catalyst wherein the olefin oligomers may be used as an alkylating agents prepare biodegradable alkylbenzene sulfonates. Moreover, the preferred catalyst is dealuminized mordenite.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art combine the components of the aforementioned prior art of record because compounds which are

Art Unit: 1751

position isomers (compounds having the same radicals in physically different positions on the same nucleus) or homologs are generally of sufficiently close structural similarity that there is a presumed expectation that such compounds possess similar properties. In re Wilder, 563 F.2d 457, 195 USPQ 426 (CCPA 1977). See also In re May, 574 F.2d 1082, 197 USPQ 601 (CCPA 1978) (stereoisomers prima facie obvious). Moreover, Isomers having the same empirical formula but different structures are not necessarily considered equivalent by chemists skilled in the art and therefore are not necessarily suggestive of each other. Ex parte Mowry, 91 USPQ 219 (Bd. App. 1950) (claimed cyclohexylstyrene not prima facie obvious over prior art isohexylstyrene). Similarly, homologs which are far removed from adjacent homologs may not be expected to have similar properties. In re Mills, 281 F.2d 218, 126 USPQ 513 (CCPA 1960). With respect to applicant's adjunct detergent materials, it is the position of the examiner that said materials are of official notice to of ordinary skill in the art and would have been conventional materials added to detergent compositions/processes.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 703-308-3732. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

Art Unit: 1751

Page 4

305-3599 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Necholas Ogden Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

no August 11, 2002